



advertising and marketing

A PUBLICATION OF VENABLE'S REGULATORY PRACTICE GROUP

www.Venable.com October 7, 2011

Editors:

Jeffrey D. Knowles jdknowles@Venable.com 202.344.4860

Gary D. Hailey gdhailey@Venable.com 202.344.4997

In This Issue:

Jeffrey D. Knowles jdknowles@Venable.com 202.344.4860

Gary D. Hailey gdhailey@Venable.com 202.344.4997

Melissa L. Steinman mlsteinman@Venable.com 202.344.4972

Stephen E. Marshall semarshall@Venable.com 410.244.7407

Ben D. Whitwell bwhitwell@Venable.com 310.229.9934

Honors and Awards

2011 *Chambers USA* Award for Excellence Winner



Top-Tier Firm Legal 500



Top-ranked in *U.S. News* and World Report

SPECIAL DISCOUNT: We're pleased to offer Venable clients and friends a special discount on registration at the Promotion Marketing Association's Annual Meeting. Please use the code: vnblelawguest2011 when registering to receive \$100 off. **Go here** for more information and to register.

News

FTC Proposes Amendments to Telephone and Catalog Sales Rule

This week, the FTC announced several proposed amendments to the Mail or Telephone Order Merchandise Rule. The Rule, which was originally issued in 1975, requires that marketers making sales via telephone or catalog must have a reasonable basis to expect that they can ship ordered merchandise in a timely manner (or 30 days if no shipping window is advertised). Under the Rule, marketers are also required to, in the event of a shipping delay, secure the customer's consent to delay shipment or provide a refund for unshipped merchandise. The FTC proposes amendments that:

- make it clear that the Rule covers all orders placed over the Internet;
- allow sellers to provide refunds and refund notices to buyers by any means at least as fast and reliable as first-class mail;
- outline sellers' obligations when buyers use payment methods not spelled out in the Rule, such as debit cards or prepaid gift cards; and
- require that refunds be made within seven working days for purchases made with third-party credit, such as Visa or MasterCard. When the seller is the creditor, the refund deadline will remain one billing cycle.

The FTC will accept public comments on the proposed amendments until December 14, 2011.

Go here to view the FTC's announcement of the proposed amendments to the Rule.

Canadian Anti-Spam Law Could Be in Effect by End of Year

DM News reports that the new Canadian anti-spam law could be in effect by the fourth quarter of 2011. Unlike the U.S. CAN-SPAM law, Canada's new law requires that all commercial emails be opt-in and threatens hefty fines for non-compliance. Industry experts interviewed in the story maintained that email marketers who are CAN-SPAM complaint and subscribe to email best practices likely have little to worry about. Those with reason to be concerned, according to the commentators, include marketers operating at the fringes of CAN-SPAM and those not subscribing to industry best practices.

Go here to read the DM News story.

Venable Scores High-Profile Wins in False Advertising, Product Liability Cases

Over the past seven days, Venable attorneys have delivered two high-profile wins for clients in closely watched cases. On October 4, a team including Venable partner Stephen E. Marshall secured a defense verdict in the fifth of five federal bellweather cases in the multi-district litigation concerning product liability claims about Merck's osteoporosis drug, Fosamax. Merck has won four of the five bellweather cases, which will help guide litigation in hundreds of other Fosamax cases consolidated in the Southern District of New York.

Go here to read Venable's press release about the win.

On September 27, Venable partner Ben D. Whitwell secured dismissal of a consumer class actions accusing Venable client Advanced Medical Optics (AMO) of deceptive advertising. The plaintiffs alleged AMO used misleading marketing practices to advertise a contact lens solution that was eventually voluntarily recalled. In its decision, the Ninth Circuit ruled that the plaintiffs' claims were preempted by the



For more information about Venable's awardwinning Advertising and Marketing practice, please visit our website at www.Venable.com/ Advertising-and-Marketing. FDA's labeling criteria.

Go here to read the Ninth Circuit's decision in the case.

Analysis

Text Sweepstakes Settlement Illustrates Best Practices but Answers Few Questions

A number of legal questions remain unresolved following the recent settlement of three California consumer class action suits concerning premium text messaging sweepstakes. However, as Venable's Melissa L Steinman and Jeffrey D. Knowles write in the latest edition of the DRMA Voice, the settlement illustrates best practices that all marketers contemplating text messaging-based promotions should keep in mind

Go here to read this piece in Response Magazine.

Upcoming Events

NCN at SupplySide West - Las Vegas

October 11, 2011

Todd A. Harrison will be speaking at this event.

ACC Annual Meeting - Denver

October 23-26, 2011

Emilio W. Cividanes, Stuart P. Ingis, James E. Nelson, and Jeffrey S. Tenenbaum will present sessions at the meeting.

ACI Social Media - New York City

October 26-27, 2011

Roger A. Colaizzi will be speaking at this event.

"Getting Your Fair Share: How To Prevent Royalty Underpayments Venable Video Webinar" October 26, 2011

Joshua J. Kaufman will co-present the panel discussion.

33rd Annual PMA Marketing Law Conference - Chicago

November 15-16, 2011

Thomas A. Cohn, Claudia A. Lewis, Roger A. Colaizzi and Melissa L. Steinman will present sessions at the conference.

Go here to subscribe to Venable's Advertising and Marketing RSS feed and receive the Venable team's insight and analysis as soon as it is posted.

If you have friends or colleagues who would find this newsletter useful, please invite them to subscribe at www.Venable.com/subscriptioncenter.

CALIFORNIA MARYLAND NEW YORK VIRGINIA WASHINGTON, DC

1.888.VENABLE | www.Venable.com

© 2011 Venable LLP. This newsletter is published by the law firm Venable LLP. It is not intended to provide legal advice or opinion. Such advice may only be given when related to specific fact situations that Venable has accepted an engagement as counsel to address.