



May 1, 2008

1.888.VENABLE

www.Venable.com**Team:**

Frederick M. Joyce
Editor in Chief
202. 344. 4653
rjoyce@venable.com

Ronald Quirk, Jr.
Executive Editor
202. 344. 4677
requirk@venable.com



The deadline for
submitting comments
is May 19, 2008.

War on Counterfeit Drugs Intensifies:**FDA Soliciting Comments for E-Pedigree Standards
and Technologies**

The war on counterfeit drugs is about to take a big leap forward, with the track and trace industry coming out as a winner. For years, the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) has recommended that pharmaceutical companies employ radiofrequency identification (“RFID”) or other technology to create an e-pedigree that would effectively fight the rampant drug counterfeiting problem. But due to costs, lack of standards, and other concerns, drug companies have not implemented the FDA’s proposal on a widespread basis.

That is about to change. In September 2007, Congress passed the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007, P.L. 110-85 (“FDAAA”), which requires the FDA to develop standards and identify and validate effective technologies for developing an e-pedigree that tracks the drugs’ history at every point along the supply chain. Specifically, the FDAAA requires the FDA to develop an identification standard to uniquely identify pharmaceuticals at the unit level within 30 months of the act’s passage. The FDA is also directed to develop a standard compatible with “promising technologies.”

To that end, the FDA is now accepting comments to assist it in guiding the development of standards and technologies to track and trace prescription drugs. The deadline for submitting comments is May 19, 2008.

The FDA has tentatively concluded that RFID is a “promising technology” as a means to achieve e-pedigree, but it is also looking at nanotechnology, encryption, and other technologies, including bar codes. The FDA notes that since it released its Counterfeit Drug Task Force Report on the threat of counterfeit drugs in 2004, it has met with officials of various technology companies and has become somewhat educated on the strengths and weaknesses of various track and trace technologies. But now, in order to fulfill its requirements under the FDAAA, the FDA has determined that it must seek more information in the form of comments from technology vendors and other interested parties.



The FDA has tentatively concluded that RFID is a “promising technology” as a means to achieve e-pedigree



Implementation of an e-pedigree will exponentially increase the demand for any track and trace technology that is endorsed by FDA.

The FDA is seeking comments on the following topics:

I. Standards

1. Characteristics of a standard numerical identifier.
2. Standards for validation of prescription drugs.
3. Standards for tracking and tracing of products in the supply chain.
4. Standards for authentication of products in the supply chain.
5. Priorities for the development of standards.

II. Promising Technologies

1. Relevant technologies for e-pedigree.
2. Information regarding these technologies’ strengths and limitations for identification, validation, tracking and tracing, and/or authentication of prescription drugs, including:
 - (a) costs of implementation and use;
 - (b) benefits to the public health;
 - (c) feasibility for widespread use; and
 - (d) utility for e-pedigree.
3. Is the suggested technology interoperable with other technologies? How so?
4. What standards are necessary for supply chain use of the suggested technology? What is the status of development of such standards?

Implementation of an e-pedigree will exponentially increase the demand for any track and trace technology that is endorsed by FDA. This proceeding offers a unique opportunity for manufacturers and vendors to have their voices heard by the federal government. If you would like more information, or if you are interested in submitting comments, please contact Ronald E. Quirk, Jr. at (202) 344-4677, requirk@venable.com.

Venable LLP's Communications Group

Frederick M. Joyce, Chair
202.344.4653
rjoyce@venable.com

David R. Lasso
703.760.1678
drlasso@venable.com

Maurice Baskin
202.344.4832
mnbaskin@venable.com

Tony S. Lee
202.344.8605
tslee@venable.com

Ellen Traupman Berge
202.344.4232
etberge@venable.com

Thomas M. Lingan
410.244.7820
tmlingan@venable.com

Rita L. Brickman
202.344.8137
rlbrickman@venable.com

Christine McLaughlin
202.344.4679
cmclaughlin@venable.com

Courtney G. Capute
410.244.7531
cgcapute@venable.com

Dana C. Nifosi
703.760.1664
dcnifosi@venable.com

Grace R. Chiu
202.344.8229
grchiu@venable.com

Jeffrey L. Quann
703.760.1667
iquann@venable.com

Emilio W. Cividanes
202.344.4414
ecividanes@venable.com

Ronald E. Quirk, Jr.
202.344.4677
requirk@venable.com

Christopher Diamond
202.344.4470
ckdiamond@venable.com

Frank W. Stearns
703.760.1956
fwstearns@venable.com

Matthew Field
202.344.8281
mfield@venable.com

James U. Troup
202.344.8066
jutroup@venable.com

Ronald M. Jacobs
202.344.8215
mjacobs@venable.com

Ian D. Volner
202.344.4814
idvolner@venable.com

CALIFORNIA MARYLAND NEW YORK VIRGINIA WASHINGTON, DC

1.888.VENABLE | www.Venable.com

Frequency is published by the Practice Group of Venable LLP. Venable publications are not intended to provide legal advice or opinion. Such advice may only be given when related to specific fact situations. This newsletter may be reproduced without the express permission of Venable LLP, so long as it is reproduced in its entirety including the Venable name and logo. If you would like to be removed from the Frequency™ distribution list, please contact Elaine Simons at esimons@venable.com.