VENABLE ®

Best Practices for Investment Advisers to Avoid Violating Pay-to-Play Regulations

Ron Jacobs Scott Gluck

NOVEMBER 29, 2011





Introduction



Ron Jacobs



Scott Gluck





Outline for Today's Presentation

- Background of Pay-to-Play Regulations
- SEC Rule 206(4)-5
 - Review of Rule/Areas of Confusion
 - Impact of Dodd-Frank
 - Ban on Third Party Marketers
 - CFTC, MSRB, FINRA
- State Pay-to-Play Regulations
- Overview of Corporate Political Law
 - Citizens United Independent Expenditures
 - Types of Entities
- Best Practices
- Questions and Answers/Discussion





Timeline of Activity

Timeline

- August 2009 SEC proposes Rule 206(4)-5
- January 2010 Citizens United decision announced
- June 2010 SEC approves Rule 206(4)-5
 - Incorporates Citizens United
- July 2010 Dodd-Frank bill signed into law
 - Repeal Section 203(b)(3) exemption
- March 2011 Pay-to-play restrictions take effect for most advisers
- June 2011 SEC Amends Rule 206(4)-5
 - Applies to exempt and foreign private advisers
 - "Municipal advisers" are "regulated persons"
 - Third Party Marketer ban effective June 13, 2012





Timeline of Activity

Timeline

- September 2011 Pay-to-play restrictions take effect for managers of "covered investment pools."
- TBD FINRA sets placement agent rules
- TBD MSRB sets pay-to-play rules
 - August 2011 Rule G-42 proposed
 - September 2011 Proposed Rule G-42 withdrawn
- TBD CFTC sets pay-to-play rules for swap businesses
- TBD SEC sets pay-to-play rules for securites-based swap businesses
- June 13, 2012 Third party solicitation ban takes effect
- November 2012 Federal election





History of Pay-to-Play Regulations

- 1994 MSRB adopts Rule G-37, designed to reduce pay-to-play in municipal securities underwriting
- 1995 Constitutionality of Rule upheld in *Blount v. SEC* 61 F.3d 938 (1995)
- 1996 MSRB adopts Rule G-38, requiring municipal dealers to disclose contracts with third party marketers and consultants
- 1999 SEC proposes play-to-play rules similar to Rule 206(4)-5, but they are not enacted.
- 2005 MSRB Rule G-38 amended to prohibit municipal securities dealers from paying third parties to solicit municipal securities business





Modeled on MSRB Rules

- Because the SEC Rule is modeled on the MSRB Rules, there are a few key points to consider:
- Although the Rule's constitutionality has not been challenged, it is likely to be upheld under *Blount*.
- SEC has stated that in interpreting the Rule they will give strong consideration to MSRB interpretations.
- MSRB has a Q&A section that is very helpful: http://msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/MSRB-Rules/General/Rule-G37-Frequently-Asked-Questions.aspx





Three Prongs of the Rule

- Unlawful for adviser to receive <u>compensation</u> for providing advisory services to a <u>government entity</u> for a two-year period after adviser or a <u>covered associate</u> makes a political <u>contribution</u> to a <u>public official</u> of a government entity or candidate for such office who is or will be in a position to <u>influence</u> the award of advisory business;
- Ban on <u>soliciting</u> or <u>coordinating</u> contributions for <u>officials</u> of a government entity adviser seeks to provide advisory services for, or payments to a <u>political party</u> of a <u>state</u> or <u>locality</u> where adviser is providing or seeking to provide advisory services;
- Ban on paying <u>third parties</u> to <u>solicit</u> government clients unless they are registered broker-dealers or registered investment advisers, in each case themselves subject to pay-to-play restrictions.





Advisers Subject to the Rule – Pre-Dodd-Frank

<u>Pre-Dodd-Frank</u>, the Rule applied to:

- Any adviser <u>registered</u> or <u>required to be</u>
 <u>registered</u> with the Commission;
- Advisers who are unregistered based upon <u>Section</u> <u>203(b)(3)</u> of the Advisers Act, which exempts an adviser <u>not holding itself out to the public</u> as an investment adviser and had <u>fewer than 15 clients</u> <u>during the last 12 months</u>;
- An adviser to a "<u>covered investment pool</u>" which is defined broadly.





Advisers Subject to the Rule – Post-Dodd-Frank

<u>Post-Dodd-Frank</u> the Rule applies to:

- Any adviser <u>registered</u> or <u>required to be registered</u>
 with the Commission
- Advisers to "private funds," including "exempt reporting advisers" and "foreign private advisers":

Section 203(b)(3) – Foreign private advisers

Section 203(I) – Venture capital funds

Section 203(m) – Private funds w/ AUM \$150m

 An adviser to a "<u>covered investment pool</u>" which is defined broadly.





Covered Investment Pools

Covered investment pools generally include:

- Investments in private equity, hedge, real estate and venture capital funds;
- Pooled investment vehicle sponsored as a funding vehicle or investment option in government-sponsored plan i.e., 529, 403 or 457 plans;

Covered investment pool **does not** include:

- Direct investments by a public pension fund;
- Purchase of publicly-offered securities of a registered investment company;
- Where adviser has not solicited government entity's business





Two Year Ban – "Covered Associates"

The Rule applies to "covered associates," which includes:

- "General partners," "managing members," "executive
 officers" or others with a similar status or function;
- Any employee who solicits a government entity for the investment adviser and any person who directly or indirectly supervises such employee;
- Any <u>PAC</u> controlled by the investment adviser or any of the adviser's covered associates.
- "Executive officer" includes (i) the adviser's President; (ii) any Vice President in charge of a principal business unit or division; (iii) any other officer who performs a **policy-making function**; or (iv) any other person who performs similar policy-making services for the adviser.





Two Year Ban – "Covered Associates"

- Look to a person's function (not title) to see if they are an "executive officer." Those who serve in a policy-making capacity will be subject to the Rule.
- The Rule does **not** apply to non-executive employees, except those who solicit government entity clients.
- Placing an executive who supervises a covered associate outside the corporate structure of the adviser does not prevent the Rule from applying. Thus, a supervisor of a covered associate may reside at a parent company but still be subject to the Rule.
- Advisers may not use non-executive employees to circumvent the rule – i.e., paying employee a bonus so it can be used by the employee to make a political contribution.





Two Year Ban – PACs and Spouses

- A PAC is <u>controlled</u> by the investment adviser or its covered associates if they have the ability to direct or cause the direction of the governance or operation of the PAC.
- The definition of a PAC is **not** limited to organizations registered as a political committee under federal, state or local law. The SEC will employ a "facts and circumstances test" to determine whether something is a political action committee.
- Definition of "covered associate" does not include <u>spouses</u>, <u>directors</u>, <u>consultants</u> or <u>attorneys</u>. Note, however, that you still cannot do indirectly that which you are prohibited from doing directly.





Two Year Ban – Definition of "Official"

- The Rule's two-year timeout is triggered by a contribution to an "official" of a government entity.
- Includes <u>incumbent</u>, <u>candidate</u> or <u>successful</u>
 <u>candidate</u> for <u>elective office</u> of a government entity.
- Depends on whether the person was an official <u>at the</u> <u>time the contribution was made</u>. Thus, a candidate for federal office could be an "official" under the rule.
- The ban is triggered, for example, by a contribution to the <u>federal campaign</u> of a current municipal or state officeholder running for federal office.
- A contribution to a <u>inaugural or transition account</u> for a victorious candidate for state or local (but not federal) office counts as a contribution to that official.





Two Year Ban – Ability to Influence Investment Decisions

- Rule applies to officials of government entities who can "influence" the award of advisory business.
- Applies if the office is **directly** or **indirectly** responsible for, or can **influence** the outcome of, the selection of an investment adviser.
- Also applies if the office <u>has the authority to appoint any</u> <u>person</u> who is <u>directly or indirectly</u> responsible for or can influence the selection of an investment adviser.
- Look to the <u>scope of authority</u> for that particular office, not the influence actually exercised.
- Authority must relate to hiring investment adviser. Rule would not apply to a public official with audit authority only.





Two Year Ban - Political "Contribution"

- "Contribution" includes a <u>gift</u>, loan, advance, deposit of money, or <u>anything of value</u> made for the purpose of <u>influencing an election</u>.
- Includes <u>transition or inaugural expenses</u> of a successful candidate for state or local office, but <u>not for federal office</u>.
- Contributions to **political parties** are not covered unless they are an attempt to do indirectly something prohibited directly i.e., earmarked for a candidate. They are, however, subject to the **recordkeeping requirements** and the **prohibition on solicitation** described below.
- <u>Volunteer</u> campaign activities by covered associates would not trigger the two-year ban, however, the use of office space or phone lines might be considered a contribution.





Two Year Ban – Two Year (Six Month) Lookback

- The "lookback" period is **generally two years** from the time that the contribution is made.
- Six-month period for a natural person who becomes a covered associate, unless that person solicits government clients after becoming a covered associate.
- The ban on compensation applies regardless of whether the adviser is aware of the contributions. Ban also applies in case of a merger or acquisition.
- The prohibition continues even if the person who made the contribution <u>leaves the firm</u>. It also applies to <u>any</u> <u>other adviser</u> that employs the person within the relevant period.
- Requires diligence on the part of fund advisers with respect to new hires.





Exception – *De Minimis* Contributions

Exception to the two-year timeout for *de minimis* contributions:

- Each covered associate who is an individual can make aggregate contributions of **up to \$350 per election** to an elected official or candidate for whom the individual is "**entitled to vote**," and up to **\$150 per election** to a candidate the individual cannot vote for.
- Primary and general elections are considered separate elections.
- Person is "entitled to vote" for an official if the person's
 <u>principal residence</u> is in the locality in which the official seeks election.
- Contributions must be limited to \$350 <u>before</u> the primary with an additional \$350 allowed <u>after</u> the primary for the general election.





Exception – Returned Contributions

There is a second exception where the contributions:

- Are made to officials <u>other than those for whom the</u>
 <u>covered associate was entitled to vote for</u> at the time of the contribution;
- In the aggregate <u>do not exceed \$350</u> to any one official per election;
- Are discovered <u>within four months</u> of being made; and
- Are returned <u>within sixty days</u> after discovery.
- This exception is <u>automatic</u> if the above criteria is met; however, no adviser can rely on this exception more than <u>two</u> <u>or three times in a twelve-month period</u> (depending on the size of the adviser) and an adviser cannot rely on the exception more than once for a particular covered associate.





Exemption – Factors to Consider

Adviser may apply for an order exempting it from the two-year compensation ban. SEC will consider:

- Whether an exemption would be in the public interest, and whether the adviser
 - Before the triggering contribution was made had adopted and implemented policies and procedures;
 - Had no actual knowledge of the triggering contribution;
 - After learning of the contribution has taken steps to get a <u>return</u> of the contribution and had taken <u>preventative</u> measures;
 - The <u>timing</u> and the <u>amount</u> of the contribution;
 - The <u>nature of the election</u> (federal, state or local);
 - The contributor's <u>apparent intent</u> or motive in making the contribution, as evidenced by the facts and circumstances surrounding the contribution.

It is very difficult to get an exemption!!





Soliciting Contributions and Payments

- A second prong of the Rule prohibits investment advisors and their covered associates from **coordinating or soliciting** any person or PAC to make a **contribution** to an **official** where the adviser **is providing or seeks to provide** investment advisory services.
- Also prohibits investment advisors and their covered associates from coordinating or soliciting any person or PAC to make a payment to a political party of a state or locality where the adviser is providing or seeks to provide investment advisory services.





"Soliciting" a Political Contribution

- "Soliciting" is defined with respect to a contribution or payment as communicating, <u>directly or</u> <u>indirectly</u>, for the purpose of <u>obtaining or</u> <u>arranging</u> a contribution or payment.
- An adviser that consents to the <u>use of its name</u>
 <u>on fundraising literature</u> for a candidate would
 be soliciting contributions for that candidate.
- An adviser that sponsors a meeting or conference that features a government official which involves fundraising would be soliciting contributions for that government official.
- Facts and circumstances test is used.





Recordkeeping Requirements

The Rule requires Advisers to keep record of:

- The name, titles and addresses of all covered associates;
- All govt. entities adviser provided services (past 5 years);
- All govt. entities that invested in a covered investment pool
 (past 5 years) or selected pool to be an option in a plan or program
- All direct or indirect contributions (or payments) made by the investment adviser or any of its covered associates to:
 - government officials (including candidates);
 - payments to state or local political parties; and
- payments to PACs
- Information must be presented in a certain format;
- Each "regulated person" adviser has contracted with.





Ban on Third Party Marketers

- The final prong of the Rule prohibits advisers from paying third parties to solicit government entities for advisory business.
- The Rule makes it unlawful for any investment adviser subject to the Rule or any of its covered associates to provide "payment" to any third party to "solicit" a government entity for investment advisory services.
- The prohibition <u>only applies to third parties</u>; does not apply to <u>any</u> of the adviser's employees, general partners or executive officers.





Ban on Third Party Marketers

- The term "payment" broadly includes any gift, subscription, loan, advance or deposit of money or anything of value.
- The term "solicit" is defined broadly to mean (i) with respect to investment advisory services, to communicate, directly or indirectly, for the purpose of obtaining or retaining a client; and (ii) with respect to a contribution or payment, to communicate, directly or indirectly, for the purpose of obtaining or arranging a contribution or payment.
- Employ a <u>facts and circumstance test</u> to determine whether a particular communication constitutes a "solicitation."





Ban on Third Party Marketers - "Regulated Persons" Exception

- There is an exception for payments made to certain "regulated persons" to solicit government clients.
- "Regulated persons" include registered broker-dealers and registered investment advisers that are subject to pay-to-play rules and, for broker-dealers, are subject to the oversight of a registered national securities association such as FINRA.
- For a **broker-dealer** to be a "regulated person" it must be (i) registered with the Commission and (ii) a member of a registered national securities association (FINRA) with stringent pay-to-play regulations. FINRA is currently considering new pay-to-play regulations for registered broker-dealers.





Ban on Third Party Marketers - "Regulated Persons" Exception

- An <u>investment adviser</u> may be considered a "regulated person" under the Rule if:
- The adviser is <u>registered with the Commission</u> under the Advisers Act;
- Within the past two years neither the adviser nor any of its covered associates have:
 - Made a contribution to an official of that government entity (other than a de minimis contribution)
 - Coordinated or solicited any person (including a PAC) to make a contribution to an official of the government entity or a political party of a state or locality where the adviser is trying to provide advisory services.





Ban on Indirect Activities to Circumvent Rule

- Rule 206(4)-5 also prohibits acts done <u>indirectly</u>
 which, if done directly, would result in a violation of the rule.
- Prohibits funneling payments through third parties, including, for example, consultants, attorneys,
 family members, friends or companies affiliated
 with the adviser as a means to circumvent the rule.
- Contributions made through "gatekeepers" would be considered to be made "indirectly" for purposes of the Rule.





MSRB, FINRA, CFTC and SEC Rulemaking

- MSRB
 - Proposed and withdrew MSRB Rule G-42 for Municipal Advisors
- □ FINRA
 - Working on developing pay-to-play rules
- □ CFTC
 - Proposed Rule 23.451 for non-security based swap dealers and major participants
- SEC
 - Proposed Rule 15Fh-6 for security-based swap dealers and major participants





State Pay-to-Play Rules

Applies on top of SEC Rule

- 15 states have pay-to-play rules
- Many municipalities have their own
- Requirements:
 - Disclosure through either:
 - contracting process
 - lobbying process
 - Prohibitions on receiving contracts
 - Penalties





Different Approaches

Issues to Consider

- Which contracts are covered?
 - All
 - No-bid
- Which candidates are covered?
- Which individuals are covered?
 - Executives
 - Officers
 - Directors
 - Owners





Corporate Contributions

Permitted in Some States

- Some states allow direct corporate contributions
- Be mindful of pay-to-play even in states where corporate contributions are allowed
- Limits may apply
- Reporting obligations may apply to donors





Corporate Contributions

Federal Rules

- Direct corporate contributions to candidates, PACs, parties prohibited.
- Allowed to support independent expenditure committees ("Super PACs")
- Allowed to give to nonprofits
- May create PACs
- May engage in fundraising, but must be very careful in use of corporate resources





Independent Expenditures

Citizens United—what it means and what it doesn't mean

- Distinction between contributions and expenditures
- Entities not affiliated or coordinated with candidate may accept contributions
 - Different types of entities
 - Different types of disclosure
- Important to understand when an entity is allowed to accept funds for independent expenditures
- Impact of SEC Pay-to-Play Rules





Independent Expenditures

Considerations for Giving

Entity	Disclosure	Limits on Activity	Tax Issues
501(c)(3)	No	No political	Charitable deduction
501(c)(4)	No	>50% non-political	No deduction
501(c)(6)	No	>50% non-political	Lobbying and political activity is non-deductible
527	Yes – IRS	Generally no express advocacy	No deduction
IE Committee	Yes – FEC	No	No deduction





Overview

- Recognize That Regulations Are Everywhere
- Know the Organization You Want to Contribute To
- Establish Policies and Procedures
 - Know who is subject to regulations
 - Employees who make policy decisions
 - Employees who market to governments
 - Pre-clear all contributions
 - Update records quarterly
- Consider Optics
- Non-Contribution Political Activities
 - Hosting "meet and greets"
 - Volunteering





Regulations Are Everywhere

- Consider federal, state and local regulations
 - Multiple layers of regulations
 - Federal
 - State
 - County
 - City/Municipal
 - Public pension fund
 - Regulations often differ
 - Employees covered under rule
 - Spouses
 - Restricted activities
 - Disclosure





Know the Entity You Are Contributing To

- As simple as it sounds, it is crucial to know the entity you would like to contribute to
 - Who Entity Is Affiliated With candidate committee, political party or outside organization
 - Type of Entity candidate committee, party committee, 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), 527, Super PAC
- Ask who the entity makes contributions to:
 - Not always obvious. Watch out for:
 - Congressional Leadership PACs
 - National party committees
 - Outside organizations 501(c)(4), 527





Know The Entity You Are Contributing To

- If there is a <u>possibility</u> the entity can contribute to state and local candidates consider asking:
 - If the Entity Has a Separate Account
 - For Letter Confirming No Earmark/Separate Account
- Applies to outside organizations and party committees
- Transmittal letter from the contributor stating contribution is not earmarked or is going to separate account
- Regulators look favorably upon this





Establish Policies and Procedures

- Educate Your Employees
 - Establish written policies
 - Annual seminar/training by compliance dept.
- Quarterly questionnaire to all employees
 - Include charitable and political contributions
- Focus on key employees
- Maintain accurate list of covered associates
- Maintain accurate list of government clients
- Screen new hires





Pre-clearing Contributions

- Crucial to pre-clear contributions
- Develop standard approval form
- Timely review
- If possible, pre-clear for all employees. If not focus on:
 - People who make policy decisions
 - People who market to public pension funds
- If possible, pre-clear:
 - Political and charitable contributions
 - Include covered associates and their spouses
- Avoid outright ban on contributions





Bundling/Soliciting Contributions

- Bundling/solicitation activities should be pre-cleared.
 - Serving on Host Committee
 - Meet and greets at firm offices
 - Review invitations
 - Wording of invitation/solicitation
 - No corporate stationery, logos
 - Use of corporate resources, email account
 - Make sure solicitation is a request, not demand
 - Requires coordination between marketing and compliance departments





Transmittal Letters for Contributions

- Generally, transmittal letters are not necessary
- Helpful where contribution is to an entity that itself can contribute to or support state or local candidates
 - Separate Account
 - No Earmark
- Should be short and to the point
 - Amount
 - Which Election
 - Disclaimer "To best of my knowledge"
- Avoid linkage to legislation
- Consider optics





Hosting Fundraising Events

- Two Options
 - Volunteer
 - Restricted class
- Volunteer
 - No corporate resources should be used
 - Prepayment for staff time
- Restricted class
 - May solicit executive personnel
 - May not collect or touch checks
 - May host event using corporate resources





Other Considerations

- Consider Optics
 - Timing
 - Host Committee
 - Solicitations
- Volunteering
- Disclosure Requirements
 - Know the legal entity
- Contribution Limits
- Gift and Lobbying Restrictions





Non-Fundraising Events

- Meet and Greets
 - Sitting officeholders
 - Avoid candidates
- Make clear purpose of event
- Do not solicit contributions
- Do not treat as in-kind to candidate
- Make certain any food or drink complies with gift rules





Contact Information

Ron Jacobs rmjacobs@venable.com t 202.344.8215 f 202.344.8300 Scott Gluck sgluck@venable.com t 202.344.4426 f 202.344.8300



www.Venable.com