# Sweepstakes, Contests, and Other Types of Giveaways in the Digital Era: **Advanced Topics and New Developments**

March 24, 2022

Melissa Landau Steinman Partner | 202.344.4972 | MLSteinman@Venable.com

> Follow our Blog! AllAboutAdvertisingLaw.com

All About Advertising Law

VENABLE 11P

### 1

Agenda Introduction How Do We Review Prize Promotions? **General Requirements** 

- Permissible Non-Monetary Consideration
- Free Alternative Method of Entry
- Entry Pool-Special Considerations
- Discrimination, Civil Rights, and **Promotions Law**
- Modification and Termination Clauses
- Skill and User-Generated Content Promotions
- Getting Consent

# VENABLE LLP

- Social Media Promotions
- Mobile Marketing
- Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs)
- Esports Gaming Contests and Sponsorships
- Surprise and Delight Promotions
- Charitable Promotions
- International Considerations

© 2022 / Slide 2













## Discrimination, Civil Rights, and Promotions Law

- State public accommodations and civil rights laws prohibiting discrimination may reach to discrimination in sweepstakes and promotions on the grounds of race, gender, ancestry and religion, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity, and/or age-based discrimination.
- Interpretation of these statutes varies and has resulted in a split-some courts find discrimination in promotions violates the law on its face, while others balance harm with the rights protected.
- E.g., **California's Unruh** Act states that "all persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, or sexual orientation are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."
  - In 1985, the CA Supreme Court found a car wash "Ladies' Day" discount was prohibited.
  - More recently, the CA Superior Court found that a 2005 "Mother's Day" tote bag giveaway at an Angels game was simply a gift and not a de facto discount, and therefore did not violate the Unruh Act.

**VENABLE** LLP

9

© 2022 / Slide 9





















































///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
(//////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
11111111111111	
(//////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
(//////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
1111111111111	
[]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]	
(())(()(())()	© 2022 Venable LLP.
	This document is published by the law firm Venable LLP. It is not intended to provide
1/////////////////////////////////////	legal advice or opinion. Such advice may only be given when related to specific fact
	situations that Venable has accepted an engagement as counsel to address.
111111111111	
(111111111111111 111111111111111	
///////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	X Z
11111111111111	VENABLE LLP
(//////////////////////////////////////	
///////////////////////////////////////	
1111111111111	