



# Navigating State Privacy Laws: Key Developments and What to Expect in 2026

January 22, 2026



**Emma Blaser**

Partner | [ERBlaser@Venable.com](mailto:ERBlaser@Venable.com)

**Arlyn Upshaw**

Associate | [AMUpshaw@Venable.com](mailto:AMUpshaw@Venable.com)

**Nana Abrefah**

Associate | [NAAbrefah@Venable.com](mailto:NAAbrefah@Venable.com)



**VENABLE** LLP



# Agenda

- Update on CCPA Regulations
- Cookie Banners
- App Store Accountability Laws
- Update on California Delete Act Implementation
- Looking Ahead: Enforcement Priorities

---

# Updates on CCPA Regulations

## New and Amended Requirements

---

# Updated CCPA Regulations

- Amendments to CCPA regulations include new obligations regarding:
  - **Risk assessments** for certain processing activities;
  - **Cybersecurity audits; and**
  - **Automated decisionmaking technology (“ADMT”).**
- The amended regulations were effective as of January 1, but companies have additional time to comply with certain requirements, including related to the above new obligations.
- The amendments also clarified or changed existing rules, including rules regarding:
  - Confirming whether an opt-out has been processed;
  - Dark patterns, including what does not constitute sufficient consent or symmetry in design (e.g., for cookie banners, opt-outs, financial incentives); and
  - Provision of notices for information collected through connected devices.

# When to Conduct CCPA Risk Assessments

- Risk assessments must be conducted if processing presents a “**significant risk to consumers’ privacy**,” which means:
  - Selling/sharing personal information;
  - Processing sensitive personal information;
  - Using ADMT for a significant decision concerning a consumer;
  - Using automated processing to infer or extrapolate certain characteristics of personnel and certain others;
  - Using automated processing to infer or extrapolate certain characteristics based on presence in a “sensitive location” (excluding use of personal information solely to deliver goods to or provide transportation for a consumer at a sensitive location); and
  - Processing personal information that is intended to be used to train ADMT for significant decisions or train facial recognition, emotion recognition, or identity verification technology.
- The first risk assessments (regarding processing prior to January 1, 2026, that continues after that date) must be completed by **December 31, 2027**.

# CCPA Risk Assessments

- Risk assessments must describe **details of data processing** and identify **benefits** and “**negative impacts**” of processing as well as **safeguards** for the processing activities covered.
- Regulations require:
  - Short windows to update assessments following material changes in processing (45 days);
  - Submission to CalPrivacy of information about a company’s risk assessments; and
    - A member of the executive management team must submit the information and certify the information’s correctness under penalty of perjury.
  - CalPrivacy and the California AG can request a risk assessment report at any time (not just in connection with a CID or formal investigation).

# CCPA Cybersecurity Audits

- Must be conducted if a business:
  - Derives 50% or more annual revenue from selling/sharing personal information; or
  - Has annual gross revenues > \$26,625,000 and (1) processed personal information of 250K+ consumers/households in last calendar year, or (2) processed sensitive personal information of 50K+ consumers/households in last calendar year.
- First audits must be completed **by April 1, 2028, 2029, or 2030** depending on annual revenues (\$100+, \$50-100, and below \$50 million, respectively). Then, annual audits are due by April 1 each year.
- The audit must assess how a cybersecurity program: (1) protects against unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure; and (2) protects against unauthorized activity resulting in the loss of availability of personal information. The regulations include detailed requirements for assessment scope.
- Certifications of completion must be submitted annually by a member of the executive team, who must attest to the certification's correctness under penalty of perjury.



# Automated Decisionmaking Technology

- Amended CCPA regulations impose obligations on businesses that use ADMT for “significant decisions.”
  - “ADMT” means “any technology that processes personal information and uses computation to **replace** human decisionmaking **or substantially replace** human decisionmaking.”
  - A “**significant decision**” is “a decision that results in the provision or denial of financial or lending services, housing, education enrollment or opportunities, employment or independent contracting opportunities or compensation, or healthcare services.”
- By **January 1, 2027**, businesses using ADMT for significant decisions must:
  - Provide consumers **notice** that includes the rights to opt out of ADMT processing and to access ADMT and information about how the ADMT works, among other specified disclosures;
  - Allow consumers (or their authorized agents) to **opt out of the use of ADMT** for significant decisions, subject to exceptions; and
  - Disclose information about use of ADMT in response to consumers’ **requests to access** regarding ADMT.



---

# Cookie Banners

## Litigation Considerations and State Law Opt-Out Rights

---

# Background on Cookie Banners and Wiretap Litigation

- **Recent litigation has leveraged wiretapping/eavesdropping statutes** to target collection, use, and sharing of personal information via third-party cookies.
  - For example, cases have commonly been brought under the California Information Privacy Act and Pennsylvania's Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act.
- U.S. law does not expressly require cookie banners, but banners may reduce litigation risk.
  - Cookie banners may help to establish **express or implied consent** to data processing by third-party cookies. This consent may serve as a defense to wiretapping claims.
    - **Express consent** requires an affirmative action by the consumer (such as an "I agree" button), so it involves more friction.
    - **Implied consent** occurs when the consumer receives a meaningful advance notice and subsequently proceeds with the communication — such as when the consumer hears a notice about call recording and continues with the call anyway.

# Cookie Banners and State Law Opt-Out Rights

- Implementing a cookie banner does not obviate the need to offer an opt-out to consumers under the state omnibus privacy laws, but may impact the design of, and options available on, any cookie banner the business chooses to implement.
- State regulators increasingly expect **symmetry of choice** in cookie banners, so companies should consider offering a “Reject All” option if an “Accept All” option is presented.
  - However, companies should also be careful not to overstate the scope of the rejection option.
- If using an implied consent banner, this consent does not override previous sales/sharing/targeted advertising opt-outs from the consumer.

# Compliance Considerations for Cookie Banners

- **Express or implied.** Consider which consent approach to adopt for cookie banners.
  - If using implied consent, a separate opt-out for sales/sharing is likely required.
  - If using express consent, balance litigation concerns with opt-out/consent requirements.
- **Determine cookie-based sales/sharing.** Examine whether your business sells, shares, or processes personal information for targeted advertising through any means other than cookies.
  - If no, consider designing cookie preference tools to align with state law opt-out requirements.
  - If non-cookie-based sales exist, a cookie banner (and any cookie notices) should avoid representing that the cookie banner is a complete state law opt-out method.
- **Ensure consistency among notices.** Review disclosures in the privacy policy and the cookie banner to ensure they are aligned.



---

# App Store Accountability Laws

## Overview and Compliance Requirements for App Developers

---

# App Store Accountability Laws: Overview

- New “app store accountability” laws enacted last year in **California, Louisiana, Texas, and Utah** include broad, novel obligations on app stores and app developers related to age verification and parental consent.
  - Texas’s law was slated to go into effect this month, but a federal judge paused enforcement on First Amendment grounds.
  - The other three laws are set to take effect over the next year, barring similar injunctions.
- In general, app stores will need to verify a user’s age when creating an account and obtain parental consent for app downloads and purchases by **any user under 18**.



# App Developer Compliance Obligations

- All app developers — not just developers of apps directed to minors — should prepare for compliance.
  - In certain states, app developers will be required to request age category and parental consent information from app stores for each app download and purchase.
  - App developers will need to have systems in place to appropriately handle any age category and parental consent information received from app stores.
- Additionally, developers should prepare to use age category information to comply with age-related requirements under other privacy laws, such as:
  - Obtaining verifiable parental consent under the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act (“COPPA”) for users under 13;
  - Obtaining consent from teens for targeted advertising (or ceasing sales of teen data or processing teen data for targeted advertising) under certain state privacy laws; and
  - Applying necessary restrictions and protections to any data collected from children and teens to comply with COPPA and state privacy laws.

# Compliance Steps for App Developers

- **Assess applicability** of app store accountability laws and determine whether any mobile or online apps may be subject to the new requirements.
- **Evaluate applicable obligations** in each relevant state. Note that there are variations in specific developer obligations across the four states.
- **Implement infrastructure** to receive age category and parental consent information from app stores. App stores have released age-signal APIs that can make this information available to developers.
- **Update internal processes** to prepare for verification requirements and, when necessary, implement age-related protections for users who are identified as under 18.

# Update on California Delete Act Implementation

## Requirements for California Data Brokers

# Background on the California Delete Act

- The California Delete Act directs the California Privacy Protection Agency (“CalPrivacy”) to create a centralized deletion mechanism, allowing California consumers to submit data deletion requests to all registered data brokers.
- Under California law, a “data broker” is defined broadly as a business that knowingly collects and sells to third parties the personal information of a consumer with whom the business does not have a direct relationship.
- Starting **August 2026**, data brokers will need to access the Delete Request and Opt-out Platform (“DROP”) established by CalPrivacy and process consumer deletion requests every 45 days.
  - The DROP is expected to contain lists of consumer-provided information, including names, DOBs, zip codes, email addresses, phone numbers, mobile advertising IDs, connected TV IDs, and vehicle identification numbers.
- CalPrivacy has signaled that it intends to be active and aggressive in enforcing the Delete Act, launching a “Data Broker Enforcement Strike Force” to investigate potential violations of data broker requirements.

# Update on Delete Act Implementation

- In late 2025, CalPrivacy adopted implementing regulations addressing the accessible deletion mechanism and requirements for data brokers.
- Key obligations imposed under the regulations include:
  - Accessing the DROP and downloading new consumer deletion lists every 45 days;
  - Standardizing and hashing information in the data broker's records in accordance with specific guidelines, and then comparing with consumer requests submitted through the DROP;
  - Deleting non-exempt matched records and associated personal information;
  - Applying sales opt-outs to certain data (such as when a matched identifier is associated with multiple consumers); and
  - Reporting the status of consumer requests as “deleted,” “opted out of sale,” “exempted,” or “not found.”
- This month, the DROP became available to California consumers to begin submitting requests ahead of the August 1, 2026, compliance date for data brokers.

---

# Looking Ahead: Enforcement Priorities

## What to Expect in 2026

---



# What to Expect from Enforcement in 2026

- **Continued coordination among regulators**
  - As more state laws have gone into effect, and regulators seek to enforce while balancing resource constraints, regulators build on each others' work.
  - Last year, privacy regulators in nine states announced the creation of a bipartisan consortium to coordinate efforts to investigate potential privacy violations.
  - California, Colorado, and Connecticut regulators launched a joint privacy sweep focused on state privacy compliance and honoring consumer opt-out requests.
- Continued focus on **consumer rights**, including opt-out rights and cookie banners
  - Public-facing issues often garner initial scrutiny and can lead regulators to look “under the hood.” For example, a faulty opt-out mechanism that relies in part on a vendor may encourage regulators to review a business's contracts.
  - California regulators have been particularly active on this topic, and we expect them to continue to enforce in this space.

# What to Expect from Enforcement in 2026

- **Children's privacy**
  - Remains a priority for state and federal stakeholders, and we have seen some state regulators (e.g., Kentucky and Utah) kick off public enforcement of their privacy laws with children's privacy-focused actions.
- **"Sensitive" data and differing approaches**
  - Health and location data remain focal points.
  - California enforcement has focused on health-related data without expressly applying standards applicable to sensitive health data.
  - States like Maryland have also taken different approaches to sensitive data requirements. We may see regulators flesh out how they will treat such data under their respective laws.

# What to Expect from State and Federal Enforcement in 2026

- **AI and automated decision-making**

- New laws and regulations are in effect (e.g., California and Texas).
- AI use can intersect with other priority enforcement areas, such as children’s privacy and use of sensitive data.
- On December 11, 2025, President Trump issued an Executive Order titled “Ensuring a National Policy Framework for Artificial Intelligence,” which calls for development of a “uniform Federal regulatory framework for AI” and an evaluation of existing state law requirements (among other items).

© 2026 Venable LLP.

This document is published by the law firm Venable LLP. It is not intended to provide legal advice or opinion. Such advice may only be given when related to specific fact situations that Venable has accepted an engagement as counsel to address.

VENABLE<sub>LLP</sub>

