FDA, FTC Send Joint Warning Letters to HCG Marketers

On December 6, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announced that the agencies issued joint warning letters to seven marketers of human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) weight-loss products. HCG is a hormone produced in women during pregnancy. FDA approved HCG as an injectable prescription drug for the treatment of certain cases of female infertility, testicular abnormalities, and other medical conditions, but it is not FDA-approved as a weight loss drug.

The warning letters cover HCG weight-loss products marketed as over-the-counter products and identified as “homeopathic.” The marketers typically direct users to follow a severely restrictive diet while using the product. The warning letters state that the marketers’ weight-reduction claims violate the Federal Trade Commission Act and render the products misbranded, unapproved new drugs in violation of the federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The FDA also issued an alert warning consumers about HCG products advertised as weight-loss solutions.

HCG weight-loss marketing was also the subject of settlements the Texas Attorney General reached last month with several weight-reduction clinics and a distributor of a HCG product. On October 27, 2011, the Texas Attorney General’s office announced the settlements, which prohibit the clinics and HCG product distributor from advertising HCG products for weight loss.

Go here to read the FDA’s HCG Consumer Update.

Go here to view the joint warning letters and additional information the FDA has published about HCG.

Go here to read the Texas AG’s press release.

FTC Issues Final Business Opportunity Guidelines

On November 22, 2011, the FTC issued the long-awaited Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Business Opportunities. The Final Rule, which is the product of a five-year rulemaking process, outlines what the FTC considers a “business opportunity,” what disclosures are required when marketing a business opportunity, and what acts are specifically prohibited when marketing a business opportunity. The Final Rule goes into effect on March 1, 2012.

Under the Final Rule, a “business opportunity” is any commercial arrangement in which:

- a seller solicits a prospective purchaser to enter a new business;
- the prospective purchaser is required to make a payment; and
- the seller represents that it will provide the purchase with locations, outlets, accounts, or customers as part of the business, or will buy back any or all of the goods or services that the purchaser makes.

The Final Rule requires a business opportunity seller to provide a prospective purchaser with a single written disclosure document that identifies the seller and provides information on any earnings claims, refund policies, and civil or criminal actions involving the business opportunity seller. Where applicable, the Final Rule requires the disclosure of detailed supplementary information on these topics.

The Final Rule prohibits business opportunity sellers from making various types of misrepresentations regarding earnings claims, the cost of the business opportunity, the nature of any promised assistance, and endorsements, among others. It also prohibits business opportunity sellers from failing to make promised refunds, and from assigning to any purchaser a purported exclusive territory that has been sold to another purchaser.

Go here to read a post on the FTC’s Business Center Blog explaining the Final Rule.

Go here to read the text of the Final Rule.
Analysis

**Nutella Case Another Example of California’s Tough Class Action Laws**

Most marketers know that California has become a battleground for consumer class actions that allege false advertising. Venable partner Gregory J. Sater examines how changes to California law following a 2009 decision called Tobacco II, have left marketers with fewer avenues to successfully oppose class certification in false advertising suits.

Go here to read Sater's piece published in the latest issue of the *DRMA Voice*.

**Let the Seller Beware**

Aggressive federal and state regulatory enforcement, competitors increasingly willing to mount self-regulatory and/or Lanham Act challenges and an uptick in advertising-related consumer class actions has created the most challenging legal environment for markets in decades. Venable partners Jeffrey D. Knowles and Daniel S. Silverman examine the ins and outs of each of these potential threats to marketers in the December issue of *Electronic Retailer* magazine.

Go here to read their piece, which begins on page 40.

---

**Upcoming Events**

**Affiliate Summit West – Las Vegas**
January 9, 2012
Visit Venable during the Meeting Market at Affiliate Summit West, and join us for a presentation by Thomas A. Cohn on Affiliates Under Fire: Next Steps and Best Practices.

**ACI’s Advertising Law Conference – New York**
January 23-24, 2012
Venable is a proud sponsor of this conference, join us for a presentation by Roger A. Colaizzi on Battle of the Brands: Resolving Disputes Involving Competitor’s Comparative Claims.

**ACI’s Consumer Finance Class Actions & Litigation – New York**
January 26-27, 2012
Venable is a proud sponsor of this conference, join us for a presentation by Thomas E. Gilbertsen on Dodd-Frank & the CFPB: A Look into Today’s Most Important Issues, the Status of the Bureau and Which Regulations Will Most Impact Consumer Finance Institutions & Litigators in the Next Year.

February 24, 2012
Thomas A. Cohn will discuss the FTC’s Revised Green Guides & FTC Enforcement.

Go here to subscribe to Venable’s Advertising and Marketing RSS feed and receive the Venable team's insight and analysis as soon as it is posted.

If you have friends or colleagues who would find this newsletter useful, please invite them to subscribe at www.Venable.com/subscriptioncenter.
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