



November 2013

Issue Editors:

Martin L. Saad mlsaad@Venable.com 202,344,4345

Meaghan Hemmings Kent mhkent@Venable.com 202.344.4481

Elissa Brockbank Reese ebreese@Venable.com 202.344.4530

Honors and Awards







IP LEGAL NEWS AND UPDATES

NAD Case Reports in Federal Court: What Evidentiary Value Does a Self-Regulatory Decision Hold?

Authors: David D. Conway, Roger A. Colaizzi, and Amy Ralph Mudge

The evidentiary value of an opinion by an advertising self-regulatory body like the National Advertising Division is an issue that has received relatively little attention. Although NAD is designed to adjudicate advertising disputes quickly, efficiently, and effectively, sadly it does not always have the final word. Sometimes a disappointed party before the NAD chooses to move the dispute to federal court under the Lanham Act. Other times the advertiser may find itself the subject of a consumer class action lawsuit after receiving an unfavorable NAD recommendation. In either circumstance, your client may wonder: What evidentiary impact will the NAD's case report have if my advertising is challenged in federal court?

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REMINDERS

"Making Sound Business Decisions: Considerations in Evaluating Whether to Litigate or Settle" at the Patent Infringement Litigation Summit – San Francisco, CA

Monday, December 9, 2013 3:45 p.m. PT

Justin Pierce will moderate the panel "Making Sound Business Decisions: Considerations in Evaluating Whether to Litigate or Settle" at the Patent Infringement Litigation Summit on December 9. This panel will discuss how to assess the plaintiff's claim, the potential costs of litigation, and other factors to determine the best course of action. Justin will also act as co-chair of the Summit.

Click here for more information and registration.

To keep abreast of changes in the IP world effecting your business, subscribe to our new RSS feed. To subscribe, please visit www.Venable.com/RSS

If you have friends or colleagues who would find this alert useful, please invite them to subscribe at www.Venable.com/subscriptioncenter.

CALIFORNIA DELAWARE MARYLAND NEW YORK VIRGINIA WASHINGTON, DC

1.888.VENABLE | www.Venable.com

© 2013 Venable LLP. This newsletter is published by the law firm Venable LLP. It is not intended to provide legal advice or opinion. Such advice may only be given when related to specific fact situations that Venable has accepted an engagement as counsel to address. Further, each newsletter article has been prepared by the named author or authors, and does not represent the views of Venable LLP or unnamed Venable attorneys. This newsletter may be reproduced without the express permission of Venable LLP as long as it is reproduced in its entirety, including the Venable name and logo. ATTORNEY ADVERTISING.